Panel discussion



EOSC NORDIC – Added value, Challenges and Opportunities

- Users, Data, Policy, EOSC
- Go to www.slido .com
- Press access code (capital letters): EOSC
- Await further instructions!

The panel















Damien LeCarpentier Director Business Incubator, CSC Finland John Renner Hansen Chair of DelC, Professor, Copenhagen University **Monica Lassi** IT Architect LUNARC, Lund University **Siri Lader Bruhn** Senior Adviser, Research Council of Norway **Thomas Midtgaard** Senior Adviser, Danish Ministry of Science

Ivar Koppel Head of Estonian Scientific Computer Infrastructure, Tartu University

User interaction



- How can we increase the engagement with scientists?
 - What incentives drives scientists?
 - How can we support fair uptake?
 - Which kind of support and training is needed?

Data across borders



- How can we support cross border collaboration on data?
 - What should be the focus areas?
 - What are the challenges related to sensitive data?

Policy Harmonization on resources



- How can we establish seamless acces to resources across borders?
- Access to limited resources (HPC, Storage, Support) How and why?
- Governance and sustainability across borders?

EOSC development



- How can we support the development of EOSC as a whole?
 - Interaction with policy makers and funders what do they need?
 - Key areas to adress

Slido feedback

- How can you know what researcher needs are crucial needs, and which ones that are wishes?
- Who will be unboarding no value services from the EOSC Portal?
- How do you suggest to bring the efforts and results of national projects (like the just presented DeIC initiative) to the development of EOSC?
- An EOSC that is useful and requested by researchers will get the attention of policy makers and funders and give them what they need. Key area: make EOSC useful
- Can we flip the coin and get engaged by researchers?
- Access to limited resources: what about "Nordic values": equal, democratic, sharing, ...?
- What is the definition of seamless? Should it be based on application focusing on the research and innovation value, rather than you ability to pay?
- Regarding the sensitive data we have to use the project framework to demonstrate few scenarios. We do not solve THE problem, but we suggest "examples".
- Why should we unsilo data. Shouldn't we just reframe what the silo looks and behave like. Maybe silos are good.
- who should pay?
- We need simple frameworks based on easy applicable principles.
- Still a huge challenge to get service providers, research networks and researchers unsilo data for machine readability. How to pick the low hanging fruits?
- Focus on Nordic climate data, and facilitate.
- "After two years most scientists cannot even find their own data". Why is motivation still lacking for researcher to join "open science"?
- Universities do not have an "inventory" of research data. No information system to track data associated with projects and PIs. Wouldn't this be a good start?
- The long tail: archive researchers and others whose data depend mostly on infrastructures which they do not steer what's in EOSC Nordic for them?
- Include EOSC services by name in DMP templates?
- How do we keep pace and good role division with the commercial market? Beat them, join them, engage?
- Should infrastructure providers make or buy services for the researchers? If to make, how to make services that researchers are finding worthwhile to use?
- The Arctic is of essential Nordic concern and goes across all disciplines. Why not join forces to make that the Nordic Open Science in practice showcase?
- Data sharing credits benefitting career development for scientists should be established (not only publication driven career incentives) how can we do it?
- How to make researchers motivated to join EOSC?
- Can it service provides and support functions come to any other conclusion than they are a vital part of the solution?
- Could EOSC Nordic concretize the benefits in their language?
- We need sustainable funding models